



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/915,809	07/26/2001	David C. Chou	11088-39607	7489	
26257	7590 12/19/2003		EXAMIN		
RODEY, D	ICKASON, SLOAN, AK	JARRETT,	JARRETT, RYAN A		
P.O. BOX 1888 ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALBOQUEI	(QOL, 1111 07103		2125	Ø	
			DATE MAILED: 12/19/2003	₃ O	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		_			Pla				
•		A	oplication No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		0:	9/915,809	CHOU ET AL					
		Ex	caminer	Art Unit					
			yan A. Jarrett	2125					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE I - External after - If the - If NC - Failur - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUI nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this conperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty period for reply is specified above, the maximum reto reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). nmunication. (30) days, a reply with statutory period will ap lly will, by statute, caus	In no event, however, may a in the statutory minimum of th ply and will expire SIX (6) MC se the application to become A	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered NTHS from the mailing date of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133	this communication.				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on <u>20 Octob</u>	oer 2003.						
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action	on is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
	on Papers		•						
10)⊠ 11)□	The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on 7/26/01 is/a Applicant may not request that any objected Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected and 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	re: a) accept ection to the draw ng the correction i	ring(s) be held in abeya s required if the drawin	nnce. See 37 CFR 1.85(g(s) is objected to. See 3	37 CFR 1.121(d).				
_ <u></u>		n for foreign pri	ority under 25 H S C	\$ 110(a) (d) or (f)					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 									
Attachmen									
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)			Summary (PTO-413) Pape Informal Patent Application .					

Art Unit: 2125

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "heads up display which can be detached from said housing while remaining connected to said computer means" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 10, 12, 14-16, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Snapp et al. U.S. 2003/0069693. Snapp et al. discloses an integrated compact, self-contained surveillance unit (e.g. [0078]), said unit comprising: housing means (e.g., [0012], Fig. 1), sensor means attached to said housing means (e.g., [0171]); means, attached to said housing, for determining the

Art Unit: 2125

position of said unit (e.g., [0015]); means, attached to said housing means, for sending communications from said unit (e.g., [0035], [0134]); computer means attached to said housing means, said computer means connected to said sensor means, said position determination means, and said communication means (e.g., [0032]); and image output means attached to said housing means connected to said computer means (e.g., [0072], [0073], [0134], [0166], [0171]);

wherein said sensor means includes a sensor selected from the group including visible sensors (e.g., [0166], [0171]), UV sensors, short wavelength infrared sensors and long wavelength infrared sensors (e.g. [0134]);

wherein said position determination means includes GPS position determination means (e.g., [0015]);

including means for determining the motion of said unit (e.g., [0031], [0134]);

wherein communication means is bi-directional; wherein said communication means includes RF communication means (e.g., [0035], [0134]);

further including power supply means; wherein said power supply is a battery (e.g., [0031]);

further including temperature sensing means connected to said computer means (e.g., [0030], [0134]);

further including means for manipulating data by the user of said surveillance unit (e.g., [0063]).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/915,809

Art Unit: 2125

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Snapp et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Hansen U.S. Patent No. 5,035,472. Snapp et al. does not disclose that the integrated gun-sight means also includes an uncooled focal plane array. However, Hansen discloses an integrated multi-spectral man portable weapon sight, including a sensor means that contains an uncooled focal plane array (col. 3 lines 40-61). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the integrated gun sight of Snapp et al. to include an uncooled focal plan array since Hansen teaches that an uncooled focal plane array is an effective way to collimate an infrared spectrum and to ultimately reconvert the infrared spectrum to the visible spectrum, thus enabling night vision for the user of the sight assembly.
- 6. Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Snapp et al. as applied to claim 5 above. As called for by claims 7 and 8, Snapp et al. **does** disclose a means for determining direction (e.g., [0012]); wherein said GPS position determination means is coupled to said means for determining direction, said computer means, and said communication means (e.g., Fig. 3). Snapp et al. **does not** specifically disclose that said motion determination means is an accelerometer.

Art Unit: 2125

However, is it well known to incorporate accelerometers into global positioning systems in order to determine the position, direction, and motion of a user. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the global positioning system of Snapp et al. to include accelerometers since they are accurate and reliable devices that are capable of calculating the motion or speed of a mobile unit.

- 7. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Snapp et al. as applied to claim 4 above, and further in view of Ellenby et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,064,398. Snapp et al. does not disclose that the position determination means also includes GLONASS position determination means. However, Ellenby et al. discloses an electro-optic visioning system, which includes a GPS position determination means and a GLONASS position determination means coupled to a computer and communication means (e.g. col. 8 lines 33-40). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Snapp et al. with Ellenby et al. in order to receive signals from the Russian satellites that a part of the GLONASS system.
- 8. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Snapp et al. as applied to claim 10 above. Snapp et al. does not specifically disclose that the bi-directional communication means includes a multi-mode patch antenna. However, multi-mode patch antennas are well known in bi-directional communication systems. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a multi-mode patch antenna in the bi-directional

Art Unit: 2125

communication system of Snapp et al. in order to separately receive and process the GPS signals and the two-way voice radio signals disclosed by Snapp et al. ([0134]).

- 9. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Snapp et al. as applied to claim 1 above. Snapp et al. does disclose that said computer means includes digital signal processing means and memory means ([0027], [0034]). Snapp et al. does not specifically disclose that the computer includes a field programmable gate array. However, it is well known to use FPGA's in computer applications. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include an FPGA in the computer of Snapp et al. in order to re-program or re-calibration the GPS, display, and/or sensor functions of the computer.
- 10. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Snapp et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of JP 2001091268 A. Snapp et al. discloses that the image output means includes a display which can be detached from the housing (e.g., see Fig. 1 reference number 13). Snapp et al. does not explicitly disclose that this display remains connected to the computer means while in the detached state, although one of ordinary skill in the art would presume that the detached display would remain wirelessly connected to the remaining geospatial module so that the display could still receive GPS images. Nonetheless, JP 2001091268 has been introduced as a secondary reference which closes this particular gap in the Snapp et al. reference and thus removes all remaining doubt. JP 2001091268 discloses a navigation system comprising a base apparatus and a detachable display device which can be connected wirelessly or through a cable (e.g.,

Art Unit: 2125

see Figs. 1-3 and the translated abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Snapp et al. with JP 2001091268 so that the detachable display of Snapp et al. could still receive and display GPS image data while in the detached state.

11. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Snapp et al. as applied to claim 18 above. Snapp et al. discloses a means for manipulating data by the user of said surveillance unit (e.g., [0063]), but Snapp et al. does not specifically disclose that said information manipulation means is a touchpad. However, touchpads are a well-known means for inputting information to a computer. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Snapp et al. to include a touchpad as the information inputting means since touchpads are easy to manufacture and easy to use.

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Tachi et al. U.S. Patent No. 4,570,227

DeLorme et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,321,158

Barnard U.S. Patent No. 6,456,938

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan A. Jarrett whose telephone number is (703) 308-4739. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo Picard can be reached on (703) 308-0538. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-7239 for regular communications and (703) 746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-J-P.P. 3900.

raj

December 12, 2003

LEO PICARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100**